Functional Programming for Logicians - Lecture 2 Type Classes, QuickCheck, Modal Logic

Malvin Gattinger

5 June 2018

module L2 where

import E1S
import Data.List
import Test.QuickCheck

Overview

- Recap: What we did yesterday
- isValid for Propositional Logic
- Polymorphism
- Type Classes
- QuickCheck
- Example: Modal Logic
- hlint and ghc -Wall

What we did yesterday

- Functions: f . g and \$
- Lists: map, comprehension, ++, !!
- Recursion
- ▶ Lambdas: (\x -> x + x)
- Guards, Pattern Matching
- Propositional Logic in Haskell
- Exercises:
 - list functions
 - prime numbers
 - propositional Logic

Recap: Propositional Logic

```
data Form = P Integer | Neg Form | Conj Form Form
  deriving (Eq,Ord,Show)
```

```
type Assignment = [Integer]
```

```
satisfies :: Assignment -> Form -> Bool
satisfies v (P k) = k `elem` v
satisfies v (Neg f) = not (satisfies v f)
satisfies v (Conj f g) = satisfies v f && satisfies v g
```

```
varsIn :: Form -> [Integer]
varsIn (P k) = [k]
varsIn (Neg f) = varsIn f
varsIn (Conj f g) = nub (varsIn f ++ varsIn g)
```

```
allAssignmentsFor :: [Integer] -> [Assignment]
allAssignmentsFor [] = [ [] ]
allAssignmentsFor (p:ps) =
  [ p:rest | rest <- allAssignmentsFor ps ]
  ++ allAssignmentsFor ps
isValid :: Form -> Bool
isValid f =
  and [ v `satisfies` f | v <- allAssignmentsFor (varsIn f) ]</pre>
```

Examples:

 λ > isValid \$ P 1 False λ > isValid \$ Neg (Conj (P 1) (Neg (P 1))) True

```
type, data, newtype
```

```
type is for abbreviations:
```

type Person = (String, Integer)

```
data is for new stuff:
```

data Form = P Int | Neg Form | Conj Form Form

> newtype is for single-case new stuff that actually abbreviates: newtype Name = Name String

curry and uncurry

```
\lambda> :t curry
curry :: ((a, b) -> c) -> a -> b -> c
\lambda> :t uncurry
uncurry :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a, b) -> c
\lambda> uncurry (+) (7,5)
12
```

 \Rightarrow Board exercise: Define curry and uncurry!

Polymorphism

A fancy name for something you already know: Functions can be defined for any type, using type variables like a and b here:

λ> :t fst
fst :: (a, b) -> a
λ> :t map
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

Polymorphism

A fancy name for something you already know: Functions can be defined for any type, using type variables like a and b here:

 λ > :t fst fst :: (a, b) -> a λ > :t map map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

Note that partial application of map already determines the type:

λ> :t map (++ " omg!") map (++ " omg!") :: [String] -> [String]

Whenever you write map it is fixed at compile-time what a is!

Type classes

Some functions are polymorphic, but not totally. For example, we can only lookup something if we know how to check for equality:

 λ > :t lookup lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b

Type classes

Some functions are polymorphic, but not totally. For example, we can only lookup something if we know how to check for equality:

 λ > :t lookup lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b Eq is a *type class* defined like this:

class Eq a where
 (==) :: a -> a -> Bool

Type classes

Some functions are polymorphic, but not totally. For example, we can only lookup something if we know how to check for equality:

 λ > :t lookup lookup :: Eq a => a -> [(a, b)] -> Maybe b Eq is a *type class* defined like this:

class Eq a where (==) :: a -> a -> Bool

Suppose we have:

data Animal = Cat | Horse | Bird

Then Cat == Horse is not defined until we make a new *instance* of Eq to teach Haskell when two animals can are equal ...

When are Animals equal?

class Eq a where (==) :: a -> a -> Bool instance Eq Animal where (==) Cat Cat = True (==) Horse Horse = True (==) Bird Bird = True (==) = False

The Ord class

```
>> :i Ord
class Eq a => Ord a where
compare :: a -> a -> Ordering
(<) :: a -> a -> Bool
(<=) :: a -> a -> Bool
(>) :: a -> a -> Bool
(>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
max :: a -> a -> Bool
max :: a -> a -> a
= f-# MINIMAL compare | (<=) #-}</pre>
```

instance Ord Animal where
 (<=) _ Horse = True
 (<=) Cat Cat = True
 (<=) Bird _ = True
 (<=) _ = False</pre>

Note that it is our job to make <= reflexive and transitive!

The Show class

class Show a where
 show :: a -> String
instance Show Animal where
 show Cat = "Cat"
 show Horse = "Horse"
 show Bird = "Bird"

The Show class

class Show a where
 show :: a -> String
instance Show Animal where
 show Cat = "Cat"
 show Horse = "Horse"
 show Bird = "Bird"

Convention: show x should return valid Haskell code.

It is not meant for pretty printing!

prettyPrint :: Animal -> String
prettyPrint Cat = "\$"
prettyPrint Horse = "\$"
prettyPrint Bird = "?"

All of this was a bit tedious and trivial, so let GHC do it: data Animal = Cat | Horse | Bird deriving (Eq,Ord,Show) A non-trivial instance example: sets

```
\lambda> [1,1,3] == [1,3,3]
False
\lambda> [6,1] == [1,6]
False
```

newtype Set a = Set [a]

A non-trivial instance example: sets

```
\lambda > [1,1,3] == [1,3,3]
False
\lambda > [6,1] == [1,6]
False
newtype Set a = Set [a]
instance (Ord a) \Rightarrow Eq (Set a) where
  (==) (Set xs) (Set ys) = sort (nub xs) == sort (nub ys)
instance (Ord a, Show a) => Show (Set a) where
  show (Set xs) = "Set " ++ show (sort (nub xs))
\lambda> Set [1,1,3] == Set [1,3,3]
True
\lambda> Set [1,1,3]
Set [1,3]
\lambda> Set [6.1] == Set [1.6]
True
```

Type Class overview

- Eq stuff where == works
- Show stuff that can be shown
- Ord stuff that can be compared and sorted

Kinds

Expressions like Int, Maybe, Show do not have a type, but a kind:

```
\lambda > :k \text{ Int}
Int :: *
\lambda > :k \text{ Maybe}
Maybe :: * -> *
\lambda > :k \text{ Show}
Show :: * -> Constraint
\lambda > :k \text{ Set}
Set :: * -> *
\lambda > :k \text{ Either}
Either :: * -> * -> *
```

Think of kinds as "meta-types": The kind of something tells you whether something is a type or what it does to types.

A Maybe Either Example

QuickCheck

Properties

Let a be some type.

Then a -> Bool is the type of a properties.

Properties can be used for *testing*.

Example: Quicksort

Quicksort is a very efficient sorting algorithm.

Here is an implementation in Haskell:

The quicksort function should turn *any* finite list of items into an *ordered* list of items.

(This is not the true real Quicksort(TM) ...)

We can check if a list is ordered like this:

isOrdered :: Ord a => [a] -> Bool
isOrdered [] = True
isOrdered (x:xs) = all (>= x) xs && isOrdered xs

The QuickCheck library allows us to do the following: quickCheck (\xs -> isOrdered (quicksort xs::[Int])) To see what it does, use verboseCheck instead. Here's another property we want:

```
sameLength :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
sameLength xs ys = length xs == length ys
quickCheck (\xs -> sameLength xs (quicksort xs))
Does it hold?
```

Here's another property we want:

```
sameLength :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool
sameLength xs ys = length xs == length ys
quickCheck (\xs -> sameLength xs (quicksort xs))
Does it hold?
```

 \rightarrow See also:

- ► Juan Pedro Villa: A QuickCheck Tutorial: Generators
- Hackage documentation: Test.QuickCheck

QuickChecking our Porpositional Logic

Can we do this?

quickCheck (\f -> isValid f == isValid (Neg (Neg f)))



```
Teaching QuickCheck some Logic
```

```
myAtoms :: [Integer]
myAtoms = [1..5]
```

```
instance Arbitrary Form where
arbitrary = sized randomForm where
randomForm :: Int -> Gen Form
randomForm 0 = P <$> elements myAtoms
randomForm n = oneof
[ P <$> elements myAtoms
, Neg <$> randomForm (n `div` 2)
, Conj <$> randomForm (n `div` 2)
<*> randomForm (n `div` 2) ]
```

Now we can do:

verboseCheck (\f -> isValid f == isValid (Neg (Neg f)))
Which other properties do we expect to hold?

QuickCheck as a Research Tool

- 0. Have a conjecture about X.
- 1. Implement X in Haskell.
- 2. Implement an Arbitrary instance for X.
- 3. Formulate conjecture as a property.
- quickCheck

QuickCheck as a Research Tool

- 0. Have a conjecture about X.
- 1. Implement X in Haskell.
- 2. Implement an Arbitrary instance for X.
- 3. Formulate conjecture as a property.
- 4. quickCheck
- ?. Profit

Modal Logic

Kripke models and modal formulas

```
type Proposition = Int
type World = Integer
type Universe = [World]
type Valuation = World -> [Proposition]
type Relation = [(World,World)]
data KripkeModel = KrM Universe Valuation Relation
data ModForm = Prp Proposition
             Not ModForm
             Con ModForm ModForm
             Box ModForm
```

Modal Logic Semantics

makesTrue :: (KripkeModel,World) -> ModForm -> Bool
makesTrue (KrM _ v _, w) (Prp k) = k `elem` v w
makesTrue (m,w) (Not f) = not (makesTrue (m,w) f)
makesTrue (m,w) (Con f g) =
 makesTrue (m,w) f && makesTrue (m,w) g
makesTrue (KrM u v r, w) (Box f) =
 all (\w' -> makesTrue (KrM u v r,w') f) ws where
 ws = [y | y <- u, (w,y) `elem` r]</pre>

(Side remark: If you are also annoyed that we have to repeat the definitions for propositional logic here, check out "final tagless" interpreters, see http://okmij.org/ftp/tagless-final/)

Modal Logic: Example

```
myModel :: KripkeModel
myModel = KrM [0,1,2] myVal myRel where
  myVal 0 = [1,2]
  mvVal 1 = [1]
  myVal 2 = [1,3]
  myVal = undefined
  myRel = [(0,0), (0,1), (0,2)]
\lambda> (myModel,0) `makesTrue` Box (Prp 1)
True
\lambda> (myModel,0) `makesTrue` Box (Prp 2)
False
```



See you again at 13:00 in F3.20.